

United States Fatent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/730,929 | 12/06/2000 | Amos B. Smith III | UPN-3827 | 7967 |
| 75 | 90 07/01/2003 | | | |
| Gregory L. Hillyer | | | EXAMINER | |
| Woodcock Was Mackiewicz & l | | AULAKH, CHARANJIT | | |
| One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1 | | | 1625 | // |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003 | 1/ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/730,929

Applicant(s)

Smith, A. et al.

Examiner

CHARANJIT AULAKH

Art Unit **1625**

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover she | eet with | the correspondence address | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| - | or Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | | | |
| - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6) the application to becom | MONTHS fi me ABANDO | from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 13, 2 | 2003 | | · | | | |
| 2a) 💢 | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act | his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗀 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-23 | | | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>10-22</u> | | | | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 9, and 23 | | | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are | subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applica | tion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | O) \square The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: | a) 🗆 a | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| : | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) 🗆 | | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachme | | P | ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 5. 55 · 125 d.i.d, 5. · 12 · 1 | | | |
| | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sun | nmary (PTC | 0-413) Paper No(s) | | | |
| 2) No | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Info | rmal Patent | rt Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) 💢 Info | omation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)1 | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/730,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper no. 9 filed on May 13, 2003, the applicants have canceled claim 7, amended claims 1, 6, 10, 15 and 18 and furthermore, have added new claim 23.

2. Claims 1-6 and 8-23 are now pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on May 13, 2003 (paper no. 9) regarding differences in the stereochemistry between the instant compounds and the compounds of the three prior art cited references (Hung, Longley and Gunesekera) were persuasive. The examiner agrees with the applicants arguments that the stereochemistry of the instant compounds is different and is neither anticipated nor obvious over the three cited prior art references.

Conclusion

- 4. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a), 102(b) and 102(e) are now withdrawn in view of amendment and persuasive arguments.
- 5. The double patenting rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/730,929

Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

final action.

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chana Aulakh whose telephone number is (703) 305-4482. The examiner can normally be reached on "Monday-Thursday " from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If the attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alan Rotman, can be reached on (703) 308-4698. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group's receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

CHARANJIT S. AULAKH

C. S. Aulath

PRIMARY EXAMINER